

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/075,203		02/14/2002	Glen Kaszubski	MAC-003	003 7110	
38157	7590	07/22/2005		EXAMINER		
THE GLID				YOON, TAE H		
15885 WES STRONGVI				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	,			1714		
				DATE MAN ED 07/20/200	_	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				M				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/075,203	KASZUBSKI ET AL					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Tae H. Yoon	1714					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commur or Reply	nication appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address					
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN asions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 period for reply is specified above, the maximum so re to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a munication. 30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of th tatutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC y will, by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>05 July 2005</i> .						
· —	,	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition	•	tters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the pract	ice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4) 🖂	Claim(s) <u>14-23 and 58-91</u> is/are per	nding in the application.	·					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>14-23</u> is/a	re withdrawn from consideration.						
5)[Claim(s) 91 is/are allowed.							
6)🖂	Claim(s) <u>58-85 and 87-90</u> is/are rejected.							
7) 🖾	Claim(s) <u>86</u> is/are objected to.							
8) 🗌	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers							
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by th	ie Examiner.						
10) 🗌	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to	by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any object	ection to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected t	•	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). ed Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
		documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority		·· ——					
	·	of the priority documents have bee	n received in this National Stage					
* 0	• •	onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	t annah sad					
- S	See the attached detailed Office action	on for a list of the centiled copies no	t received.					
Attachment	t(s)							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date ___

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

6) Other: ____

Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 1714

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 80-85 and 87-90 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Imai et al (US 4,760,123) or Staiger et al (US 5,304,621).

Imai et al teach the instant composition in examples 1, 3 and 4, and said composition inherently possesses the instantly recited physical properties. <u>Alkylalkoxy silane components of said examples meet the instant dehydrating agent since it is moisture curable.</u> Various amounts of fillers encompassing the instant amount are taught at col. 8, lines 30-33.

Staiger et a teach the same at col. 7, lines 10-64 and in example 13 wherein α ω -di(trimethylsiloxy)dimethylpolysiloxane is seen. Said α ω -di(trimethylsiloxy)dimethylpolysiloxane meets the instant dehydrating agent since it is moisture curable. Thus, the instant invention lacks novelty.

Art Unit: 1714

Contrary to applicant's assertion, the instant polymers are not carbon-based.

Claims 80-85 and 87-90 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Product Brochure "MS polymer Silyl" of Kaneka Corp. in view of Smith, Jr. et al (US 4,308,372), Staiger et al (US 5,304,621) and/or Imai et al (US 4,760,123).

Said Product Brochure teaches the instant formulation #105 (composition) in table of page 5, and it inherently possesses the instant viscosity and Tg. Said MS polymer Silyl meeting the instant (co)polymer having reactive silicon end groups is taught at page 2 wherein the viscosity is also seen (1 Pa • s equals 1,000 centipoise). Said MS polymer has Tg of about – 60 ° C (page 4) and thus said formulation in table of page 5 would have the instant viscosity.

The instant invention further recites employing clear filler such as fumed amorphous silica over Product Brochure. However, said Product Brochure teaches employing various fillers at the bottom of page 1, and the use of said fumed amorphous silica in moisture curable composition having (co)polymer having reactive silicon end groups is well known as taught by Smith, Jr. et al (col. 9, lines 35-57), Staiger et al (col. 7, lines 63-64 and example 13) and Imai et al (col. 8, line 11 and examples 1, 3 and 4, and the fumed silica is amorphous. For example, Staiger et al teach fumed silica, HDK H 15 at col. 18, line 7, which is also taught instant page 6, line 22.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to utilize fumed silica of Smith, Jr. et al, Staiger et al, and/or Imai et al in a composition of Product Brochure as a filler since said Product Brochure teaches employing various

Art Unit: 1714

fillers and since the use of said fumed amorphous silica in moisture curable composition having (co)polymer having reactive silicon end groups is well known and since the use of clear filler is an obvious design choice absent showing otherwise.

Contrary to applicant's assertion, polymers of the cited art do not have to be the same and the secondary references are cited to show the art well known fumed amorphous silica.

Claims 58-72, 74-85 and 87-90 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Katz (US 5,298,572) in view of Staiger et al (US 5,304,621) or Baba et al (US 6,013,749).

Katz teaches moisture curable composition at col. 8, table wherein the instant ingredients are seen. The polymers of Katz have the reactive silicon end groups (abstract). The formulation I in said table would meet the recited viscosity and glass transition temperature since it has excellent elongation property (col. 2, line 19). Katz also teaches employing fillers such as fumed silica at col. 6, line 26.

The instant invention further recites surface area of said furned silica over Katz. However, the use of fumed silica having the recited surface area in curable compositions is well known as taught by Staiger et al, col. 7, lines 63-64, wherein more than 50 m²/g is taught. The instant "less than 50 m²/g" (encompassing 49.9999) would be an obvious modification of said more than 50 m²/g (encompassing 50.0001) since it

Art Unit: 1714

line 39 to col. 9, line 10 wherein Aerosil OX50 used in the instant example is taught.

is almost same. Baba et al teach fumed silica having the recited surface area at col. 8,

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to utilize fumed silica having the recited surface area of Staiger et al or Baba et al in Katz

since Katz teaches employing fumed silica absent any criticality of the surface area.

Claims 58-85 and 87-90 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Katz (US 5,298,572) in view of Staiger et al (US 5,304,621) or Baba et al (US 6,013,749), and further in view of Furukawa et al (US 5,459,205) or

Claim 73 further recites particular dehydrating agents, but such dehydrating agents are well known as taught by Furukawa et al (col. 9, lines 39-49) and Yamaguchi et al (col. 9, lines 34-35).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to utilize said dehydrating agents taught by Furukawa et al or Yamaguchi et al in Katz and Staiger et al or Baba et al thereof since Katz teaches the use of a dehydrating agent.

Claim 86 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 91 is allowed.

Yamaguchi et al (US 6,686,047).

Art Unit: 1714

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tae H. Yoon whose telephone number is (571) 272-1128. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tae H Yoofi Primary Examiner Page 6

Art Unit 1714

THY/July 15, 2005